

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/551,537	04/18/2000	Kazuki Suzawa	P107424-00003	1899
7:	590 05/22/2002			× <sup>¢</sup>
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER, PLLC 1233 20TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 501			EXAMINER	
			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036-5339		FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	9
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002	<b>,</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>* }                                   </u>			_ /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/551,537	ARIOKA ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	d to avoid abandonment of th ner: (1) a timely filed amendm Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in	эd
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shink between the patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nis Advisory Action, or (2) the date set later than SIX MONTHS from the mail WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH The date on which the petition under 3 f extension and the corresponding amountened statutory period for reply origin	ng date of the final rejection.  S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension for the fee. The appropriate extension fee unally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for	ee nder rth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);	}
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see I	Note below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ation in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying	j the
(d) they present additional claims without c	anceling a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)v canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendm	nent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ required application in condition for allowance because		en considered but does NOT place ti	he
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ed because it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clai			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fol	lows:		Ì
Claim(s) allowed:			]
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>_</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	1
9. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	tement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). <u>7</u> .	
10. Other:	•	CYNTHIA H.	KELIV
		SUPERVISORY PATE	
		TECHNOLOGY CEI	NTEP !
S. Patent and Trademark Office		Ciptta	NICO
TO-303 (Rev. 04-01)	Advisory Action	Part of Paper No. 9	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Because Hurditch uses the term 'optionally', this means that the drying step does not have to take place, the drying step is NOT required. The rejection is therefore maintained. The rejection of Hurditch in view of Cunningham is also maintained because the rejection of Hurditch alone has not been overcome.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700